

Building an Interim Assessment System:

A Workbook for School Districts

THE COUNCIL OF CHIEF STATE SCHOOL OFFICERS

The Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO) is a nonpartisan, nationwide, nonprofit organization of public officials who head departments of elementary and secondary education in the states, the District of Columbia, the Department of Defense Education Activity, and five U.S. extra-state jurisdictions. CCSSO provides leadership, advocacy, and technical assistance on major educational issues. The Council seeks member consensus on major educational issues and expresses their views to civic and professional organizations, federal agencies, Congress, and the public.

Building an Interim Assessment System: A Workbook for School Districts

COUNCIL OF CHIEF STATE SCHOOL OFFICERS

Steven Paine (West Virginia), President

Gene Wilhoit, Executive Director

Technical Issues in Large Scale Assessment State Collaborative on Assessment and Student Standards (TILSA SCASS)

The Council's State Collaborative on Assessment and Student Standards strives to provide leadership, advocacy and service in creating and supporting effective collaborative partnerships through the collective experience and knowledge of state education personnel to develop and implement high standards and valid assessment systems that maximize educational achievement for all children.

Eric Crane, WestEd
Douglas Rindone, Associate Collaborative Advisor, TILSA SCASS
Duncan MacQuarrie, Associate Collaborative Advisor, TILSA SCASS

Council of Chief State School Officers
One Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20001-1431
Phone (202) 336-7000
Fax (202) 408-8072
www.ccsso.org
Copyright © 2010 by the Council of Chief State School Officers, Washington, DC
All rights reserved.

Building an Interim Assessment System: A Workbook for School Districts

How to Use This Workbook

As someone with a stake in a school district's systems, you probably do not have all the answers around what is necessary to build an effective interim assessment system. Neither does this workbook. But it is intended to have the right questions. More precisely, this workbook contains the vision, infrastructure, and resource questions critical to a thorough, district-level self-examination of readiness for an interim assessment system. Staff at state departments of education can also use this resource when district-level staff approach the state agency seeking guidance. These questions are intended to guide you and other district stakeholders through a structured consideration of building or revisiting your district's interim assessment system. The questions are intended to be answered collaboratively, not by a district administrator in isolation. The authors of this workbook believe that a team of district stakeholders addressing these questions is the approach most likely to yield progress in creating a lasting, successful system.

The workbook is organized into the following nine sections:

- Goals and Vision
- Elements and Features
- Professional Development
- Alignment to Standards and Curriculum
- Costs and Capacity
- Test Development and Technical Quality
- Administration and Scoring
- Reporting
- Evaluation

A Definition of Interim Assessments

In a paper commissioned by the Council of Chief State School Officers' (CCSSO) collaborative on Technical Issues in Large-Scale Assessment (TILSA), Crane (2008) offers the following definition of interim assessments:

Assessments administered multiple times during a school year, usually outside of instruction, to evaluate students' knowledge and skills relative to a specific set of academic goals in order to inform policymaker or educator decisions at the student, classroom, school, or district level. The specific

interim assessment designs are driven by the purposes and intended uses, but the results of any interim assessment must be reported in a manner allowing aggregation across students, occasions, or concepts. (p. 2)

This definition builds on earlier work by Perie, Marion, and Gong (2007). This revised definition emphasizes that interim assessment is periodic in nature, and with regard to both stakes and frequency, falls between classroom-level, low-stakes, high-frequency formative assessment and state-level, high-stakes, low-frequency summative assessment. Links to these resources, as well as updated work by Perie and her colleagues (2009), are available in Appendix A.

	Formative	Interim	Summative
Typical Use	feedback to adjust ongoing	monitoring student progress	student placement; school
	teaching and learning		and district accountability
Frequency of Administration	continual; multiple times a day	generally 2–6 times per school year	usually once a school year
Scope of Administration	student and classroom	usually school or district (could be student, as in a response to instruction and intervention model)	usually state

Source: Crane, 2008, p. 4.

School districts are at different stages in their thinking and planning concerning interim assessment. Some are considering implementing their first-ever system. Others are revisiting an established system. The questions in this workbook address both the system and the items and tests that make up the system. Most school districts can benefit from looking at all the sections of this workbook; however, two sections are more technical than the rest. Districts just beginning the process may want to defer a serious consideration of the "Alignment to Standards and Curriculum" and "Test Development and Technical Quality" sections until they have built a more substantial foundation to support an interim assessment system. The first section, "Goals and Vision," is in our view the most important. Discussions on an interim assessment system need to solidify and establish consensus on goals and vision before proceeding to other topics.

The Council of Chief State School Officers' (CCSSO) collaborative on Technical Issues in Large-Scale Assessment (TILSA) authored this workbook, with Eric Crane of WestEd as lead author. This TILSA group geared the workbook to a universal level. Some of your questions

may not be addressed within these pages. However, we hope and expect that a self-examination guided by this workbook will prove useful to a diverse array of school districts.

GOALS AND VISION		
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response
1. What is the purpose of the interim assessment system? What are our goals for this program?	This is the central question of this process. The importance of this question cannot be overstated.	
	Crane (2008) identified eight uses of interim assessments: diagnosis, prediction, preparation, placement, student evaluation, school intervention, promotion/graduation, and local accountability (see Appendix B). Which of these apply to the district, and how will they be addressed? □ Diagnosis □ Prediction □ Preparation □ Placement □ Student evaluation/monitoring student progress □ School intervention □ Promotion/graduation	
	Local accountability Are the uses supported by appropriate infrastructure or technical work (e.g., if predictive validity is a use we will rely on, then technical studies must be carried out to support such use)? Note that with each additional use to which the district will put the data, additional technical work or infrastructure will need to be in place.	

GOALS AND VISION		
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response
2. What action steps will be taken as	Are there curricular materials at hand that can	
a result of this assessment?	facilitate re-teaching? Will the results be used to	
	evaluate teachers? Can such use be justified by the	
	assessment?	
3. How will student learning and	A clear articulation of the valued outcomes—and	
other valued outcomes be affected	what will change to promote these outcomes—is	
as a result of using this interim	essential to principals' and teachers' (and other	
assessment system?	stakeholders') understanding, support, and	
	implementation of the system. Identifying specific	
	examples of how student learning will be affected	
	is essential.	
4. Who will use the information	Realistically, there will be discomfort and resistance	
gathered from this assessment?	to a new system. The system will need champions	
What buy-in or sense of ownership	who can explain the reasons for moving to the new	
	system and the system's benefits. This can promote	
what will be needed to make this	buy-in.	
program successful and of value?		
5. Can we explain how the interim	The system should provide important information	
assessment system fits into the	that is more standardized than the formative	
larger landscape of other	assessment information that teachers constantly	
assessments our students take?	generate. On the other hand, the interim	
	assessment information should point to "next	
	steps" for learning and instruction, which is not a	
	primary purpose of the end-of-year summative	
	assessment.	
6. What is the scope of the	A matrix showing content coverage by grade level	
program? Grades? Content areas?	could help stakeholders see the potential breadth of	
Would phasing in the program,	the program.	
initially including only certain grades		
or content areas, make sense?		

GOALS AND VISION		
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response
7. Have principles of universal	Look for assessment materials that developers have	
design been incorporated to ensure	designed for use by all populations. If developing	
that the needs of special	your own assessment materials, make sure that	
populations are addressed?	expertise on universal design is available.	
8. Do we want to consider	Can we achieve better alignment than if we	
developing our system internally, or	purchased a product? Do we have the capacity,	
do we want to purchase a vendor	resources, and funding to develop a product? Do	
product?	we have the funding to purchase a product?	
9. Are content standards in place	Are there district-level content standards and	
and is this program or assessment in	objectives that need to be considered along with	
support of those standards? (See	state standards?	
also "Alignment to Standards and		
Curriculum" section.)		
10. Have we remembered to reflect	This applies when districts are purchasing a test or	
the relevant questions from this	releasing an RFP for test or item development	
document in our request for	services such as online testing, custom test	
proposal (RFP)? Is the vendor	development, scoring and reporting, and item	
willing to provide references from	development. RFPs include performance	
other school districts?	requirements, legal statements, and many other	
	elements that are beyond the scope of this	
	workbook.	

Before you leave this section, verify that there is consensus around the goals and vision for the interim assessment system. Decisions about goals and vision should serve as guiding touchstones for all later work. As you answer questions in the following sections of the workbook, refer back to this "Goals and Vision" section to inform your thinking and decision making.

ELEMENTS AND FEATURES		
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response
1. Can the assessment show student growth? (See also "Reporting" section.)	Student growth can be defined in various ways. Measuring growth within a year is generally more straightforward than measuring growth across years. It may cost more to track growth across years. Growth within a year may be less expensive to track, but it may not yield the information that the district needs. Does the vendor claim that there is a vertical scale? Is there statistical information to justify such a	
2. Is the assessment valid for different student populations? (See also "Test Development and Technical Quality" section.) 3. Does the assessment provide evidence of strengths and weaknesses at the individual student	Claim? What technical work supports this? How much and what kinds of evidence?	
and group levels? 4. Does the assessment come with instructional materials and strategies? If not, does it link to instructional resources? Are the instructional materials and strategies linked to state standards or gradelevel expectations?	Does the system offer any sort of next steps based on results? If instructional materials represent a separate component, what is their cost? What is their quality?	
5. How do these interim assessments fit within our local and state assessment system and how do	Teachers feel more positively about assessments when it is clear how they fit together in a balanced assessment system.	

ELEMENTS AND FEATURES			
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response	
they link/connect to/support the			
other components in our system?			
6. For vendor purchases, is online	Especially when implementing a new system,		
or telephone technical assistance	support can be critical. Is the vendor willing to		
available and provided in a timely	provide references of actual customers (other		
manner?	districts) who could address this question?		

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT			
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response	
1. What professional development (PD) will various stakeholders want/need? How will that PD help us ensure that the data from the tests are used correctly and effectively?	What stakeholders need PD? Will the PD offered to different stakeholders be tailored to that group? If so, how?		
2. How compatible is the assessment with pre-existing or ongoing professional development provided or supported by the district?	Assessment that is coordinated with ongoing PD is more likely to be successful.		
3. Does the assessment system include professional development (PD)? If so, is the PD about how to use the product only, or does the PD include how to use the results?			
4. Have we planned for adequate professional development early, including prior to adoption of the system, if applicable?	Does the PD promote or reinforce buy-in? There will be discomfort and resistance to a new system. Scheduling professional development early can increase comfort with the system. In the best case, staff who had been oppositional can become advocates (Crane, 2008).		

ALIGNMENT TO STANDARDS AND CURRICULUM		
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response
1. How aligned is the assessment to	If the alignment to state content standards is not as	
state academic content standards?	good as desired, how should results and inferences	
Do we want the assessment to be	be discussed differently? Can the vendor indicate to	
aligned to any other standards in	what standard the items are aligned? What happens	
addition?	and what are the consequences if the assessment is	
	less than fully aligned?	
2. Has the vendor conducted an	Review the vendor's materials to verify claims. Ask	
alignment process? Do we want to	for additional details if materials are vague.	
sponsor or conduct our own	How was the alignment conducted? Will the	
alignment study?	vendor be responsive to findings from an item	
,	review by the district's teachers?	
3. If there is an alignment study, are	Besides topics, is the cognitive complexity or depth	
the following elements published:	of knowledge published?	
the number and percentage of items		
aligned to the standards, the		
number and percentage of		
standards for which there are no		
items, and the percentage of items		
that do not align to the standards?		
4. Do the assessments reflect a	Have the state and local curriculum standards been	
logical scope and sequence in	examined to ensure that what is being assessed is	
curriculum?	being taught? Is there a mechanism in place for	
	teacher committees to study the assessment results	
	and make curriculum recommendations or	
	modifications?	
5. How do we want the assessments	Some purchased interim assessments may not	
to "link" or connect to our existing	match the timing of items in the curriculum.	
textbooks and curriculum		
materials/resources?		

COSTS AND CAPACITY			
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response	
	Are additional resources needed to get full benefit		
the cost in line with the value of the	from the system? Cost includes opportunity cost of		
system and with its goals?	other things the district could have done,		
	instructional time, resources, staff time, and		
	computer time.		
2. Can we afford it?	It is sometimes better to envision the ideal before		
	focusing on reality. It may help with the visioning		
	process. If keeping costs down is paramount, are		
	there possibilities for collaboration with other		
	districts?		
3. Do we have the staff time	Are the likely benefits so high that we will find the		
available for training in a new	time, even if it appears that we do not have it		
system?	available?		
4. Do we have the technical	The vendor may not be the only option for a		
expertise to manage certain parts of	consultant to help manage the system.		
the system ourselves?			
, ,	Does the district need servers? Scanners? How well		
the vendor?	equipped are school sites? It is important to include		
	information technology staff in the planning and		
	discussion.		

This section, "Test Development and Technical Quality," contains more technical information than other sections of the workbook. Not all school districts will have in-house expertise regarding the technical issues of assessment development. We encourage school districts to work with qualified psychometricians or other advisors if the districts need additional technical expertise.

TES	CAL QUALITY	
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response
1. What type(s) of items do we want	Does the assessment include tasks or	
in the tests?	performances? This is especially important if the	
	state assessments emphasize these formats and the	
	interim assessments are meant to monitor readiness	
	for those exams.	
2. What access will various	If items are secure, this will impact remediation.	
stakeholders have to the items? Will	Consider publishing release and exposure policy on	
items be released?	the district's web site.	
3. How much involvement do we	Do we want/need tests that are pre-made, or do	
want to have in test development?	we want a bank of items so that we can build our	
_	own assessments? Does the district have the	
	necessary technology and professional expertise to	
	support teacher-developed assessments? Does the	
	system allow for item development by teachers?	
4. How much staff time do we have	Would other administration or scoring models	
to conduct the tasks required by the	require less (or more) time?	
administration? (See also		
"Administration and Scoring"		
section.)		
5. Is the interim assessment	What kinds of data would back up claims of	
predictive of other important	predictive validity for interim assessments? Be a	
measures or outcomes?	critical consumer of vendor examples, asking	
	questions about the evidence.	
6. How relevant is the information	Sometimes high-quality testimonials and quasi-	
that was in the documentation of	experimental studies are more relevant than a gold-	

TEST DEVELOPMENT AND TECHNICAL QUALITY		
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response
technical quality? What level of	standard research design (such as that required by	
technical rigor do we require?	the federal What Works Clearinghouse).	
7. How rigorous is the technical	There is considerable range of district practice	
foundation of the assessment?	here. A gold-standard level of demonstrated	
	technical quality will be appropriate for some	
	districts. Other districts may be comfortable	
	without the same level of demonstrated technical	
	quality.	
	Examples include:	
	• detailed study design	
	• links to instruction	
	• thorough statistical and psychometric	
	information	
	• evidence that each item was edited for spelling,	
	grammar, usage conventions, and cuing and item	
	writing principles (adapted from Siskind and	
	Potter, 2006; a more complete listing of Siskind	
	and Potter's elements of a rigorous technical	
	foundation is in Appendix C)	

ADMINISTRATION AND SCORING			
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response	
1. How often will we administer the tests? How are the limitations of our calendar and our equipment matched to these issues?	Will retesting be allowed with the same items? If yes, then item exposure may be a problem: exposure may be a problem if accountability is a purpose, but retesting may be advisable instructionally, if preparation is a purpose.		
2. What administration/delivery mode(s) do we want or need?	Paper-pencil, online, or both? Computer adaptive?		
3. Does the assessment have the flexibility to be administered at the group or individual level?	Can the test be administered to meet the needs of students with disabilities and English learners?		
4. What elements does the system include that ensure uniform administration for all students, except those receiving appropriate accommodation?	Can the test be administered at grade level only, or above or below also?		
5. What logistics related to the administration of the test can we support?	Will we do local scanning? Will we scan at each school or at a central location? What materials are needed to administer and score the tests?		
6. What technology resources are available and what will be needed?	Do we have the requisite number of computers? How long do data need to be stored? Will we track results over multiple years?		
7. How quickly can the tests be scored?	If open-ended items are included, what training will be provided to scorers?		
8. How will we score the tests?	Can instructional time be used for administration and scoring the tests?		

In this section, "Reporting," a critical framing question for the conversations can be, "How does reporting support the purpose(s) of the interim assessment system?"

REPORTING			
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response	
1. Does the interim assessment	Does it allow for district-wide or statewide		
allow for comparisons to other	comparisons?		
populations?			
2. Can the system help determine	Do assessment results seamlessly flow into a		
trends regarding systematic gaps or	student information system? Do some stakeholders		
what is missing from the prior	have a concern that results will be used for teacher		
grade? Gaps associated with	evaluation, and if so, how do we address that		
particular teachers?	concern?		
3. How quickly are reports	Are there also parent reports? Reports that		
generated and returned to schools	students can use?		
and teachers?			
4. How easy are the reports to	Are there examples available from other districts in		
interpret and use? Is there a good	our state? What inferences can be drawn from		
representation of the change in a	scores on the assessment?		
student's skills?			
5. Are data reported in terms and	What is the mode of reporting? Are there links		
formats that can be quickly and	with electronic student-level data systems? Does		
easily understood, disaggregated,	the assessment provide subscores? Is it set at the		
and utilized?	same level of difficulty as the state test?		
6. Who has access to the data? How			
secure are the data?	assessment data? Are user-level data access rights		
	clearly defined and documented?		
7. Can the system effectively track	How often are student and teacher rosters		
	updated? Can students who change districts be		
the district?	tracked if the same interim assessments were used		
	in their previous district?		
8. Will the assessment integrate into	Do the assessment scores flow seamlessly into the		

REPORTING			
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response	
a school's response to intervention	databases and systems that support RtI?		
(RtI) framework?			

EVALUATION			
Question	Relevance / Follow-up Questions	Notes / Response	
1. How do we know whether the	It helps to establish measurable goals for		
program has worked?	subsequent evaluation.		
2. What is our plan to review the	Clear goals and timelines are a must.		
effectiveness of this program?	What is our exit strategy if the evaluation does not		
When will that review take place?	show that the program is effective?		
What should be evaluated during			
that review?			
3. Since many contracts with	Explore possibilities with vendors. A competitive		
vendors are on a year-to-year basis	or challenging economic time may present		
(due to fiscal concerns), how can we	unprecedented opportunities for negotiation.		
tie renewal of the product to			
evaluation results?			

Appendix A: Resources

Crane, E. W. (2008). Interim Assessment Practices and Avenues for State Involvement. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers. Available at http://www.ccsso.org/Publications/Download.cfm?Filename=InterimAssessmentPractices-web.pdf.

Perie, M., Marion, S., & Gong, B. (2007). The role of interim assessments in a comprehensive assessment system: A policy brief. Available at http://www.nciea.org/publications/PolicyBriefFINAL.pdf.

Perie, M., Marion, S., & Gong, B. (2009). Moving toward a comprehensive assessment system: A framework for considering interim assessments. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice* 28(3), 5–13.

Other References

Crane, E. W., Rabinowitz, S., & Zimmerman, J. (2004). Locally tailored accountability: Building on your state system in the era of NCLB. [Knowledge Brief] San Francisco: WestEd. Retrieved March 11, 2008, from http://www.wested.org/online_pubs/KN-04-01.pdf.

Siskind, T. & Potter, D. (2006). To Members of the State Board of Education and Members of the South Carolina Education Oversight Committee, memorandum, 9 November 2006, re Adoption List for Formative Assessments.

Appendix B: Eight uses of interim assessment, excerpted from Crane (2008)

In all, eight uses of interim assessment were identified: diagnosis, prediction, preparation, placement, student evaluation, school intervention, promotion/graduation, and local accountability. The purposes of interim assessments can stretch from the borders of formative assessment to those of summative assessment. For example, interim assessments are used formatively when they are used for diagnosis. At the opposite boundary of interim assessments are those with more summative uses, such as promotion/graduation and local accountability. The remaining uses are suggestive of more of a "middle ground" of purposes for these tests. The assignment of these uses along a continuum is intended as a rough guide; how tests are being used in real instances—the purposes to which the tests are actually put—should drive the thinking and any characterization about them.

At the formative margin:

• Where the goal is identification of weak areas of performance for subsequent remediation, the goal of the interim assessment is diagnosis.

In the interim assessment "middle ground":

- Some jurisdictions (and many test publishers) claim that interim assessment provides a good forecast of student performance on the high-stakes test. In this case, the test is used for *prediction*.
- Where interim assessments are cited as helping students become familiar and comfortable with a test that may mirror the mandatory high-stakes test later in the school year, the jurisdiction's goals include *preparation*.
- Some jurisdictions use scores on interim tests for *placement*, to help inform what courses the student should be taking next.
- Perhaps the oldest use of interim assessment is for *student evaluation*, as traditional texts and curricula often have included chapter and unit tests that provide an evaluation of student understanding of recently completed material.
- Some jurisdictions require schools in need of improvement to use interim assessment. In this case, interim assessment serves as one initiative in a *school intervention* strategy.

At the summative margin:

- Where the progress shown across interim tests affects students' passage to the next grade, the tests are used for *promotion*. Likewise, state *graduation* requirements, such as the body-of-evidence-based approaches of Rhode Island and Wyoming, may include demonstrating learning over time through progress on district interim assessments.
- Interim assessment's purposes may be directed at the school as well as at students, as school districts may include interim assessment data as an input in a system of *local accountability* (Crane, Rabinowitz, & Zimmerman, 2004).

Appendix C: Expanded listing of technical features in interim assessments, adapted from the 2007–2008 Formative Assessment Adoption List for South Carolina (Siskind and Potter, 2006)

- experimental or quasi-experimental study design
- adequate description of links to instruction
- adequate description of sampling plan
- indication of study duration
- adequate description of data analysis, including statistical techniques used
- adequate description of study's findings and their practical significance

- adequate sample size or repetitions in the study
- adequate statistical and psychometric information—description of field test sample; reliability indices; standard error for each score point; decision consistency indices; item difficulty for each item; item discrimination for each item; DIF statistics for each item; evidence of bias and sensitivity review for all items; evidence that each item was edited for spelling, grammar, usage conventions, and cuing and item writing principles; information about score derivation; information about the interpretation of test scores

This workbook was prepared by Eric W. Crane, senior research associate at WestEd, in collaboration with the Technical Issues in Large-Scale Assessment (TILSA) collaborative of the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO).